arXiv:hep-th/9704005v1 1 Apr 1997

hep-th/9704005

U-duality between Three and Higher Dimensional Black Holes

Seungjoon Hyun
Department of Physics and Research Institute for Basic Sciences
Kyunghee University
Seoul 130-701, Korea
(sjhyun@nms.kyunghee.ac.kr)

We show that the D-brane configurations for the five and four-dimensional black holes give the geometry of two and three-dimensional ones as well. The emergence of these lower dimensional black holes from the D-brane configurations for those of higher dimensions comes from the choice of the integration constant of harmonic functions, which decides the asymptotic behavior of the metric and other fields. We show that they are equivalent, which are connected by U-dual transformations. This means that stringy black holes in various dimensions are effectively in the same universality class and many properties of black holes in the same class can be infered from the study of those of the three-dimensional black holes.

March, 1997

Recent developments [1] in nonperturbative aspects of string theory, especially D-branes [2], made it possible to give a statistical interpretation on the black hole entropy by counting microscopic states. The entropy from the degeneracies of BPS-saturated D-brane bound states exactly agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the corresponding five [1] and four-dimensional extremal black holes [3][4] and for spinning ones [5] and the near-extremal cases [6][7]. Remarkably, the calculations of other quantities like decay and emission rates [6][8][9][10] and grey body factors [11][12] of near-extremal D-brane configurations also exactly agree with those from the semiclassical Hawking radiation of the corresponding black holes. All these results indicate that there seems to be universal behavior [13] among various black holes and many essential features of low energy effective theory can be read from semiclassical analysis of the general black hole solution of ordinary Einstein-Maxwell gravity, without using string theory [14].

In this letter, we show that the same D-brane configurations as those of five and four-dimensional black holes also give the geometry of those in two and three dimensions. The configurations of the BPS states in string theory, which preserves part of the supersymmetries, are determined by the set of harmonic functions $H_i(r)$ of transverse coordinates. The arbitrary integration constants, $H_i(\infty)$ of those functions are usually chosen to be 1 to have the asymptotically Minkowskian metric. Quite naturally, by choosing different value for $H_i(\infty)$, namely $H_i(\infty) = 0$ for some i, one would get different background geometry, with different asymptotic behavior and singularity structures, yet the same configurations would garantee to give the same physics from the string point of view. We show this explicitly, by finding U-dual transformations which connect those solutions.

We begin with type IIB theory on $T^4 \times S^1$ and configurations of Q_1 fundamental strings wrapping S^1 and Q_5 solitonic five-branes wrapping $T^4 \times S^1$, along with the momentum on S^1. They are the S-dual version of the configurations of D-strings, D5-branes and momentum, used in the calculation of the entropy of five-dimensional black holes. The metric, dilaton and the non-vanishing components of antisymmetric second rank tensor take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& H_1^{-1}(-dt^2+dx^2+K(dt-dx)^2)+H_5(dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_4^2)+dx_5^2+\cdots+dx_8^2,\\
e^{-2\phi} =& H_5^{-1}H_1,\\
B_{tx} =& H_1^{-1}-1,\\
H_{ijk} =& (dB)_{ijk}=\tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l H_5, \quad i,j,k,l=1,2,3,4,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where H_1, H_5 and K are harmonic functions of $x_1,\cdots,x_4$. As is well-known, this configuration preserves $\frac{1}{8}$ supersymmetries. It also has U-duality invariance under which $(H_1, H_5, K+1)$ permutes. The five-dimensional black holes correspond to the usual ansatz

$$H_1=1+\tfrac{r_1^2}{r^2}, \quad H_5=1+\tfrac{r_5^2}{r^2}, \quad K=\tfrac{r_k^2}{r^2}. \tag{2}$$

As noted above, we can use different harmonic functions which might give the metric describing different background geometry. If we take those harmonic functions of the form

$$H_1=\tfrac{r_1^2}{r^2}, \quad H_5=\tfrac{r_5^2}{r^2}, \quad K=\tfrac{r_k^2}{r^2}, \tag{3}$$

then, after the dimensional reduction on T^4, the six-dimensional metric becomes

$$ds^2=\tfrac{r^2}{r_1^2}(-dt^2+dx^2+K(dt-dx)^2)+\tfrac{r_5^2}{r^2}dr^2+r_5^2 d\Omega_3^2. \tag{4}$$

Now $d\Omega_3^2$ part is completely decoupled, and the geometry turns out to be $(AdS)_3\times S^3\times T^4$, where $(AdS)_3$ denotes three-dimensional extremal anti-de Sitter black holes given in [15]. Note that if the radius r_5 of S^3 is small, the geometry can be interepreted as the spontaneous compactification down to three dimensions by $H=dB=-r_5^2\epsilon_3$, where ϵ_3 is the unit volume element of S^3. After replacing by $r^2+r_k^2 \longrightarrow r^2$ and $\frac{x}{\sqrt{r_1 r_5}} \longrightarrow \varphi$, the Einstein metric is shown to describe the three-dimensional extremal black holes, tensored by S^3,

$$ds_E^2=-\tfrac{(r^2-r_0^2)^2}{l^2r^2}dt^2+r^2(d\varphi-\tfrac{r_0^2}{lr^2}dt)^2+\tfrac{l^2r^2}{(r^2-r_0^2)^2}dr^2+l^2d\Omega_3^2. \tag{5}$$

Here $l = \sqrt{r_1 r_5}$ is the radius of compactified space S^3, which is related to effective three-dimensional cosmological constant $\Lambda = -l^{-2}$, and r_k becomes the radius of event horizon. Note that the non-vanishing entropy of this three-dimensional extremal black hole can be easily deduced from D-brane calculations.

Another choice for the harmonic functions of the form

$$H_1 = 1 + \tfrac{r_1^2}{r^2}, \quad H_5 = \tfrac{r_5^2}{r^2}, \quad K = \tfrac{r_k^2}{r^2}. \tag{6}$$

generates effective two-dimensional charged black hole solutions. Under the dimensional reduction on $T^4 \times S^1$ and the same spontaneous compactification on S^3 as above, the solution becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 =& -(1 + \tfrac{r_1^2}{r^2})^{-1}(1 + \tfrac{r_k^2}{r^2})^{-1} dt^2 + \tfrac{r_5^2}{r^2} dr^2, \\ e^{-2\phi} =& r_5^{-2}(r^2 + r_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(r^2 + r_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the case $r_1 = r_k$ corresponds to the extremal limit of two-dimensional charged black holes studied in [16].

So far, we have shown that completely different background geometries emergy from the same D-brane configurations, depending on the choice of the integration constant. Now we show that they are related by U-duality, thus indeed equivalent from the string point of view. Starting with (1)-(2) (dropping the irrelevant T^4 part), we change the coordinates as follows:

$$t \longrightarrow 2t - \tfrac{1}{2}x, \quad x \longrightarrow \tfrac{1}{2}x, \tag{8}$$

in which the fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 =& -\tfrac{1}{KH_1} dt^2 + \tfrac{K}{H_1}(dx + \tfrac{1-2K}{K} dt)^2 + H_5(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_4^2), \\ e^{-2\phi} =& H_5^{-1} H_1, \\ B_{tx} =& H_1^{-1} - 1, \\ H_{ijk} =& (dB)_{ijk} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l H_5, \quad i,j,k,l = 1,2,3,4, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

After taking the T-dual transformation [17] with respect to x-coordinate, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& -\tfrac{1}{KH_1}dt^2 + \tfrac{H_1}{K}(dx + \tfrac{1-H_1}{H_1}dt)^2 + H_5(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_4^2), \\
e^{-2\phi} =& \tfrac{K}{H_5}, \\
B_{tx} =& (K)^{-1} - 1, \\
H_{ijk} =& (dB)_{ijk} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l H_5, \quad i,j,k,l = 1,2,3,4,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we made constant gauge transformation for B_{tx} by adding 1. This T-dual transformation maps from one background geometry to another, which are related by $H_1' = K$, $H_5' = H_5$, and $K' = H_1 - 1$. It turns the five-dimensional black hole solutions with (2) into effective two-dimensional black hole solutions (7). By performing U-dual transformations with $U = ST_5T_6T_7T_8S$, where S denotes the S-dual transformation in ten-dimensional type IIB theory and T_i denotes the T-dual transformation on i-th coordinate, we can transform (H_1', H_5', K') to (H_5', H_1', K'). Now we apply the same T-dual transformation, along with the same replacement as (8), and get $(H_1'', H_5'', K'') = (H_1 - 1, K, H_5 - 1)$, which corresponds to the three-dimensional black hole solutions (5).

Now we turn to the D-brane configurations in type IIA theory on $T^4 \times S^1 \times \widehat{S}^1$, which give the geometry of the four-dimensional extremal black holes [3][4]. It consists of Q_2 D2-branes wrapping $S^1 \times \widehat{S}^1$, Q_6 D6-branes wrapping $T^4 \times S^1 \times \widehat{S}^1$, Q_5 NS5-branes wrapping $T^4 \times S^1$ and the momentum on S^1. The metric and other fields have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& (H_2H_6)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-dt^2 + dx^2 + K(dt - dx)^2) + H_5(H_2H_6)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dx_4^2 \\
& + H_2^{\frac{1}{2}}H_6^{-\frac{1}{2}}(dx_5^2 + \cdots + dx_8^2) + H_5(H_2H_6)^{\frac{1}{2}}(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_3^2), \\
e^{-2\phi} =& H_5^{-1}H_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}H_6^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\
H_{ij4} =& \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_k H_5, \quad i,j,k = 1,2,3, \\
C_{t4x} =& H_2^{-1} - 1, \\
(dA)_{ij} =& \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_k H_6, \quad i,j,k = 1,2,3.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The four-dimensional extremal black hole solutions correspond to the harmonic functions of the form

$$H_2 = 1 + \tfrac{r_2}{r}, \quad H_5 = 1 + \tfrac{r_5}{r}, \quad H_6 = 1 + \tfrac{r_6}{r}, \quad K = \tfrac{r_k}{r}. \tag{12}$$

If, instead, we choose

$$H_2 = \tfrac{r_2}{r}, \quad H_5 = \tfrac{r_5}{r}, \quad H_6 = \tfrac{r_6}{r}, \quad K = \tfrac{r_k}{r}, \tag{13}$$

we come up with the three-dimensional extremal black hole solutions, with S^2 factor,

$$ds_E^2 = -\tfrac{(\rho^2-\rho_0^2)^2}{l^2\rho^2}d\tau^2 + \rho^2(d\varphi - \tfrac{\rho_0^2}{l\rho^2}dt)^2 + \tfrac{l^2\rho^2}{(\rho^2-\rho_0^2)^2}d\rho^2 + \tfrac{l^2}{4}d\Omega_2^2, \tag{14}$$

where $l = 2(r_2r_5r_6)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\tau = 2t$, $\rho^2 = \frac{l}{2}(r + r_k)$, $\varphi = \frac{2x}{l}$ and $\rho_0^2 = \frac{l}{2}r_k$. Again, it can be shown to be U-dual to the four-dimensional solutions (10)-(12). Firstly, we change the coordinates t and x as (8) and perform U-dual transformations with $U = T_4T_5T_6T_7T_8ST_x$, which give the original configurations with new harmonic functions, $(H_2', H_6', H_5', K') = (H_5, K, H_6, H_2 - 1)$. By following the same procedure, i.e. replacing by $t \longrightarrow 2t - \frac{1}{2}x_4$ and $x_4 \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}x_4$ and taking another U-dual transformations with $U = T_xT_5T_6T_7T_8ST_4$, we get

$$(H_2'', H_6'', H_5'', K'') = (H_5', K', H_6', H_2' - 1) = (H_6, H_2 - 1, K, H_5 - 1).$$

Another change of coordinates, t and x, as (8) and U-dual transformations with $U = T_4T_5T_6T_7T_8ST_x$ generate the three-dimensional black hole solution (14) with

$$(H_2''', H_6''', H_5''', K''') = (H_5'', K'', H_6'', H_2'' - 1) = (K, H_5 - 1, H_2 - 1, H_6 - 1).$$

This equivalence can be easily extended to non-extremal black holes as well. In the followings, as an example, we show the equivalence of five and three-dimensional non-extremal black holes. Four-dimensional case can be treated along the same way. We begin with the configurations [6][7]

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& -(f_1 f_k)^{-1}(1-\tfrac{r_0^2}{r^2})dt^2 + f_1^{-1} f_k (dx - \tfrac{r_0^2 \cosh\gamma \sinh\gamma}{r^2 f_k} dt)^2 \\
& + f_5((1-\tfrac{r_0^2}{r^2})^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2) + dx_5^2 + \cdots + dx_8^2, \\
e^{-2\phi} =& f_5^{-1} f_1, \\
B_{tx} =& \tfrac{\cosh\alpha}{\sinh\alpha}(f_1^{-1} - 1), \\
H_{ijk} =& (dB)_{ijk} = \tfrac{\cosh\beta}{2\sinh\beta}\epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l f_5, \quad i,j,k,l = 1,2,3,4,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where f_1, f_5 and f_k are given by

$$f_1 = 1 + \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\alpha}{r^2}, \quad f_5 = 1 + \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\beta}{r^2}, \quad f_k = 1 + \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\gamma}{r^2}. \tag{16}$$

After changing the coordinates as

$$t \longrightarrow (\tfrac{\cosh\gamma + \sinh\gamma}{\sinh\gamma})^2 t - (\tfrac{\sinh\gamma}{\cosh\gamma+\sinh\gamma})^2 x, \quad x \longrightarrow (\tfrac{\sinh\gamma}{\cosh\gamma+\sinh\gamma})^2 x, \tag{17}$$

and taking the T-dual transformation with respect to x, the metric and other fields become

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 =& -(h_1 h_k)^{-1}(1-\tfrac{r_0^2}{r^2})dt^2 + h_1^{-1} h_k (dx - \tfrac{r_0^2 \cosh\alpha \sinh\alpha}{r^2 h_k} dt)^2 \\
& + f_5((1-\tfrac{r_0^2}{r^2})^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2) + dx_5^2 + \cdots + dx_8^2, \\
e^{-2\phi} =& f_5^{-1} h_1, \\
B_{tx} =& (h_1^{-1} - 1), \\
H_{ijk} =& (dB)_{ijk} = \tfrac{\cosh\beta}{2\sinh\beta}\epsilon_{ijkl}\partial_l f_5, \quad i,j,k,l = 1,2,3,4,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$h_1 = f_k - 1 = \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\gamma}{r^2}, \quad h_k = f_1 = 1 + \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\alpha}{r^2}. \tag{19}$$

As is the case for extremal limit, the metric describes two-dimensional charged black hole solutions which reduce to the solutions in [16] under the limit $\alpha = \gamma$. After we take the same U-dual transformations as the extremal case and perform the similar steps as above, we finally get (15) with

$$f_1 = \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\alpha}{r^2}, \quad f_5 = \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\beta}{r^2}, \quad f_k = 1 + \tfrac{r_0^2 \sinh^2\gamma}{r^2}. \tag{20}$$

The corresponding Einstein metric describes the geometry of three-dimensional black holes in [15], with trivial $S^3 \times T^4$ factor, as follows:

$$ds_E^2 = -\frac{(\rho^2-\rho_+^2)(\rho^2-\rho_-^2)}{l^2\rho^2}dt^2 + r^2(d\varphi - \frac{\rho_+\rho_-}{\rho^2}dt)^2 + \frac{l^2\rho^2}{(\rho^2-\rho_+^2)(\rho^2-\rho_-^2)}d\rho^2 + l^2 d\Omega_3^2, \qquad (21)$$

where $\rho^2 = r^2 + r_0^2\sinh^2\gamma$, $\rho_+^2 = r_0^2\cosh^2\gamma$, $\rho_-^2 = r_0^2\sinh^2\gamma$, $l^2 = r_0^2\sinh\alpha\sinh\beta$ and $\varphi = \frac{x}{l}$.

In this paper, we have shown that, as far as strings are concerned, four and five-dimensional black holes, which have four and three charges, respectively, are U-dual, and thus equivalent, to the effective three-dimensional black holes, even though, in contrast to higher dimensional black hole solutions, those of three dimensions have constant dilaton and, most notably, has no curvature singularities. This kind of phenomenon is not new in the string theory [18].

From the string point of view, there may be only a few universality classes, whose representatives would be, hopefully, lower dimensional black holes. If this is the case, it helps us understanding the universal behavior among various black holes. Futhermore, from the ordinary field theory point of view, treating gravitational systems in these lower dimensions is much easier than those of higher dimensions, hence it may be possible to get deeper insights underlying connections between the D-brane calculation and ordinary semiclassical approach. The detailed study on the effective three-dimensional black holes will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Korea Research Foundation.

References

[1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. **B 379** (1996) 99.

[2] J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-branes*, hep-th/9611050 and references therein.

[3] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 428.

[4] C. Johnson, R. Khuri, and R. Myers, Phys. Lett. **B 378** (1996) 78.

[5] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, and C. Vafa, *D-branes and Spinning Black Holes*, hep-th/9602065: J. C. Breckenridge, D. A. Lowe, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, A. Strominger, and C. Vafa Phys. Lett. **B 381** (1996) 423.

[6] C. G. Callan and J. M. Maldacena, Nucl. Phys. **B 472** (1996) 591.

[7] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 2368.

[8] A. Dhar, G. Mandal, and S. R. Wadia, Phys. Lett. **B 388** (1996) 51.

[9] S. R. Das and S. D. Mathur, Nucl. Phys. **B 478** (1996) 561: Nucl. Phys. **B 482** (1996) 153.

[10] S. Gubser and I. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. **B 482** (1996) 173.

[11] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D 55** (1997) 861.

[12] S. Gubser and I. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 4491.

[13] S. R. Das, G. Gibbons, and S. D. Mathur, *Universality of Low Energy Absorption Cross Sections for Black Holes*, hep-th/9609052.

[14] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *Universal Low-Energy Dynamics for Rotating Black Holes*, hep-th/9702015.

[15] M. Banados, C. Teitleboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849.

[16] M. D. McGuigan, C. R. Nappi, and S. A. Yost, Nucl. Phys. **B 375** (1992) 421.

[17] E. Bergshoeff, C. Hull, and T. Ortin, Nucl. Phys. **B 451** (1995) 547

[18] G. T. Horowitz and D. L. Welch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 328.